

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

LARRY D. NICHOLAS,  
Petitioner,

CIVIL ACTION

v.

MR. GEORGE PATRICK, THE  
DISTRICT ATTORNEY OF THE  
COUNTY OF PHILADELPHIA, and THE  
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE  
OF PENNSYLVANIA,  
Respondents.

NO. 06-1680

**ORDER**

AND NOW, this 11th day of May, 2015, upon consideration of pro se petitioner Larry D. Nicholas' Hazel-Atlas Motion (Document No. 41, filed September 17, 2014); the Government's Response to the Hazel-Atlas Motion (Document No. 45, filed November 25, 2014); pro se petitioner's Supplemental Hazel-Atlas Motion (Document No. 46, filed December 15, 2014); the Government's Supplemental Response to the Hazel-Atlas Motions (Document No. 52, filed February 27, 2015); pro se petitioner's Reply in Further Support of the Hazel-Atlas Motions (Document No. 53, filed March 11, 2015); and pro se petitioner's Supplemental Reply in Further Support of the Hazel-Atlas Motions (Document No. 54, filed March 25, 2015), **IT IS ORDERED** that, for the reasons stated in the accompanying Memorandum dated May 11, 2015, pro se petitioner's Hazel-Atlas Motion (Document No. 41) and Supplemental Hazel-Atlas Motion (Document No. 46) are **DENIED**.

**IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that a certificate of appealability **WILL NOT ISSUE** on the ground that reasonable jurists would not debate this Court's procedural rulings with respect to pro se petitioner's claims or whether he has stated a valid claim of the denial of a

constitutional right. See Slack v. McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); Morris v. Horn, 187 F.3d 333, 340 (3d Cir. 1999); 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c).

**BY THE COURT:**

/s/ Hon. Jan E. DuBois  
**DuBOIS, JAN E., J.**